In the One-Hundred-Sixteenth Congress of the United States of America

AMICUS BRIEF

IN THE MATTERS OF:

Billie Rene' Frances Lillian Powers VS BONYM Bank of New York Mellon

On appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of California. Presiding Honorable Judge DAVID O. CARTER

RE: Federal District Court No: 8:17-CV-01386-DOC

9th Circuit Appellant Court No: 19-55013

Tribunal Presiding Honorable Judge DIARMUID F. O'SCANNLAIN, STEPHEN S. TROTT and N. RANDY SMITH

Appealing the 9th Circuit Appellant Court Decision, to the Supreme Court pre-establishing Jurisdiction at the Common Law, between all parties.

IN SUPPORT OF THE PETITION OF REMONSTRANCE FOR:

Powers V BONYM Case

Through the National Committee in Support of Miami-Florida Attorney Bruce Jacobs Resolution 6021. Remonstrance Prepared by: National Committee Co-Chairwoman **:Lorie-Ann: Cole** and member **:Valerie-Lynn: Naif**.

Filed in 15 State General Assemblies on behalf of 66 claimant parties within these several states; 1) California 2) Illinois 3) Hawaii

4) Alaska 5) Colorado 6) Florida 7) Mississippi 8) North Carolina 9) Michigan

10) Texas 11) Oregon 12) Georgia 13) Maryland 14) New York

15) South Carolina and 16) Congress

AMICUS BRIEF

:Billie-Rene'-Frances-Lillian: Powers

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT Appellate Case: 19 – 55013

What are Common Law Courts? In the true Common Law, there are no obligatory rules or precedents. A Common Law Court (a court of record) has unlimited jurisdiction and is independent of government. All external factors are, at best, advisory, no obligatory.

However, what is obligatory is the proper discharging of one's official duties. Therefore, binding such public

*officials by their **Article 6, Clause 3** Oath or Affirmation to the Constitution of the United States. The LAW OF THE LAND; "**The Senators and Representatives before mentioned, and the Members of the several State Legislatures, and all executive and judicial Officers, both of the United States and of the several States, shall be bound by Oath or Affirmation, to support this Constitution; but no religious Test shall ever be required as a Qualification to any Office or public Trust under the United States.**"*

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

CERTIFICATE OF THIRD-PARTY INTERVENORS, INTERESTED PERSONS FOR CRIMINAL JOINDER AND NON-CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT.

BY: THE PEOPLE OF THE SEVERAL STATES

1) :Lorie-Ann: Cole 708-244-3421 lacole2274@gmail.com 2011CH4767, 13CM1715, 13-0450, 12-29963 & 2015TR042189. c/o 1747 Stonehedge Court Wheeling, Illinois [60090]

2) :Valerie-Lynn: Naif 773-457-2059 valerienaif@gmail.como 2011CH4767, 13CM1714, 13-0450 & 12-29967. c/o 1747 Stonehedge Court Wheeling, Illinois [60090]

3) :Faith: Brashear 858-405-2488 faith@betterquest.com 1:18-CV-01052-MB11 P.O. Box 1501 New Port Beach, California [92659]

4) :Bruce: Soloway 813-473-0161 bjs0321@outlook.com 1:2012-CV-00507 c/o 6565 River Birch Road Walls, Mississippi [38680]

5) :Eugene: Warner 816-714-0418 dr3rivers@yahoo.com 17-1-0164, CAAP-19-0164 & 3:17-CV-00068 c/o 355 Kalanlanaole Avenue #306 Hilo, Hawaii [96720]

6) :Ronald: Poulson 951-492-8690 ronaldpoulson@msn.com 15-55389, 5:14-CV-01534-FMO-JPR, HEC-1403068 & 0:2015-CV-55389 c/o 3113 Janae WY Hemet, California [92545]

7) :Susan: Augustitus 831-595-8014 susanaugustitus@aol.com APN: 187-59-047, 12-53678 & BO3224187-591-047 P.O. Box 218 Carmel Valley, California [93924]

8) :Charlene: Von Schlesien 559-361-8642 vonschlesien@protonmail.com 2007CR891, 2007CR288, 2007DR768, 2008PR591, 2009C4796, 2010C353, 2010T1497, 2010M2579, 2011M707, 2011C157, 2011C1544, 2011M2213, 2012C2841, 2013M761 & 2013-26863 c/o 6525 Gunpark Drive Ste. 370-117 Boulder, Colorado [80301]

9) :LeAnne: Atkins 815-302-9449 newdivinejustice@gmail.com 18-56622 c/o 2973 Harbor Blvd. Costa Mesa, California [92626]

10) :Linda: Nash 407-418-8266 lindanashlegalhelp@gmail.com 2011-V-0004389 & 23019-PRICI-12898 c/o 2136 Linden Road Wicker Park, Florida [32792]

11) :Ismael: Rodriquez 619-646-0076 americancommunityhousing@gmail.comAPN: 535-272-28, APN; 535-272-35, APN: 535-272-34, APN: 544-131-02, 37-2018-000125-

28CU-MC-CTL, APN: 643-052-28-14, APN: 643-052-12-00, & APN: 643-631-20-00 c/o 2119 Oceanview Boulevard San Diego, California [92113]

12) :Alberta: Chavarra 619-646-0076 americancommunityhousing2@gmail.com APN: 544-131-02 & APN: 538-170-32 c/o 2119 Oceanview Boulevard San Diego, California [92113]

13) :Maria: De La Torre 619-962-4880 sdelatorre0929@gmail.com 37-2014-000030957-CL-UD-CTL c/o 6950 Enborne Lane San Diego, California [92139]

14) :Malina: A Lai 760-695-7834 tnzwj968@yahoo.com 37-2019-00200118-CL-UD-CTL, 37-2018-00035434-CL-UD-CTL& 09-15123-JM7 P.O. Box 284 Bonsall, California [92003]

15) :Sothy: Lai 760-685-4400 sothylai9@gmail.com 37-2019-00200118-CL-UD-CTL & 37-2018-00035434-CL-UD-CTL & 10-0017 & 10-00173-MM11 P.O. Box 284 Bonsall, California [92003]

16) :Carolyn: Brantley 843-812-4724 cbran21@gmail.com 2015-CP-27-00520, 9:19-CV-00490-BHH-BM & 19-05734-DD P.O. Box 218 Ridgeland, South Carolina, [29936]

17) :Sharyll: Taratuta 313-258-0453 yupurr@yahoo.com19126163, 19126165, 19126167, 19126169, 191261171, 19126173, 191A190064, 191A190065, 18124311, 14T626417B, 14T616417A, 12A669764A, 12A669764B, 14T616417B, 14T616417A, 07159187, 09C3-3V0090, 09C3-CV0059001, 09C3-CV00884, 09C3-CV008401, 11AL-AC00676, 12-001872, 12AL-MC00457, 12AL-CR01320 & M-0741-5396489 c/o 26300 Ford Road #233 Dearborn Heights, Michigan [48127]

18) :Glenn-Whicker: Neal, Jr. 704-791-5370 wjneal@icloud.com 17CR52499, 17CRS1246, 18CR053805, CR717400, 18CR701139, 18CR701140, 18CRS701139, 19SP458, 19SP352, 19SP357, 19SP341, 19SP413, 19CV2172 & 18CVS985 c/o 349 Copperfield Blvd. NE Ste. L Box 523 Concord, North Carolina [00000]

19) :Darla: Goulla 512-538-5744 darlagoulla64@gmail.com 15-0854-C277, 17-0011-C395, 17-0799-CC2, 18-1381-C26, A-17-CV407-LY-ML, 1:19-CV-704-LY, 1:17-CV-1002, 15-CV-02064(SRN-JSM), 03-319-00271-CV, 4:17-CV-00389 & 19-10412-JLG P.O. Box 1857 Bracketville, Texas [78832]

20) :John: Bloom 541-232-9958 johnse2e@gmail.com 161303219 & 19LT15897 c/o 3968 Jessen Drive Eugene, Oregon [97402]

21) :Bennea: Hughes 661-810-4570 nubeginnings_1@yahoo.com APN:3153075041, 12SA1000, 12SO1000 & BS166195 c/o 44200 48th Street West Lancaster, California [93536]

22) :Deondra-Lewis: Simon 661-886-4222 deedeesimon01@gmail.com APN: 3023030112 c/o 37650 90th Street East Little Rock, California [93545]

23) :Lynnette: Brown 678-409-7620 brownlynette333@gmail.com 1:20-CV-0549 & 19-61975 c/o 4567 Rockbridge Road #66 Pinelake, Georgia [30072]

24) :Jairo: Montoya 661-675-6611 37@hotmail.com 00000000 c/o 9010 East Avenue O Palmdale, California [9359193591]

25) :Gary: Dishoyan 818-406-3312 gary.dishoyan@gmail.comAPN: 21303-019-083 c/o 13020 Stagg Street North Hollywood, California [91605]

26) :Hendrick: Lucas 951-234-4598 mailstop333@gmail.com EO61178, EO61578 & EO61065 P.O. Box 893043 Temecula, California [92589]

27) :Laurie-De Michael: Lucas 951-234-4598 mailstop333@gmail.com EP61178, EO61578 & EO61065 P.O. Box 893043 Temecula, California [92589]

28) :John: Gildersleeve, Jr. 561-713-0318 win-win-hel@comcast.net 502013CA002532XXXXMBAW & 2013CA000922XXXXMB c/o 18264 SE Heritage Drive Tequesta, Florida [33469]

29) :Thomas-Glenn: Rivette 407-242-1263 thomas.rivette@gmail.com 64-2013-CA-10329-CIDL & CIDL-2010-12391 c/o 22173 US 19 N Clearwater, Florida [33765]

30) :Lizabeth-Emi: Bendeck 808-319-0638 dr3rivers@yahoo.com 16-1-0211 (GKN) c/o 43 Pakalana Street Hilo, Hawaii [00000]

31) :Janet: Hill 404-861-6036 jkhillus@yahoo.com 2018-CV-302813, A20A0781 & 2018-CV-309704 c/o 543 Auburn Avenue NE Atlanta, Georgia [30312]

32) :Richard: Annette 321-228-4645 rckannette@yahoo.com 2011-V-0004389 c/o 3670 Midiron Drive Winter Park, Florida [32792]

33) :Christina: Winsey 941-914-2433 thefraudoctor@gmail.com 2010-CA-07699NC, 2D14-3548, 8:16-CV-2288-T33TBM, 2018-2D18-4625 & 8:17-CV-979T33JSS c/o 5233 Palos Verdes Drive Sarasota, Florida [34231]

34) :Dennis: Rudd 941-387-5526 dennyclemerguy@gmail.com 2010-CA-07699NC, 2D14-3548, 8:16-CV-2288-T33TBM, 2018-2D18-4625 & 8:17-CV-979T33JSS c/o 5233 Palos Verdes Drive Sarasota, Florida [34231]

35) :Marina: Akrabian 661-400-5360 marina.avtig@yahoo.com 19AVUD01582, 19AVCV00854, 2:10-BK-61058-AA & 2:18-2:18-BK-20780-WB c/o 1235 West Avenue H6 Lancaster, California [93534]

36) :Gaery-Michael: Pell 313-651-6468 yupurr@yahoo.com W164700A, W164700B, F127970AB, 90-011674-01-FC, 08-103161-NF, 11-105485-DS, 2019-000019-FH, 6LC165330, 96L0958CM, 96L09584CM, 6LC165330-OI, 96L09583CM-OM, 96L09584CM-OM, 0652925SL-FY, LT045297, 06M443452A, 06M443452B, 100698234A, LT045297-LT, 04M443452A-SI, 06M443452B-ST, 100698234A-OI & 13C73280M c/o 24125 Rosewood Avenue Taylor, Michigan [48180]

37) :Kelley: Bosecker 727-258-8699 kabosecker@gmail.com SC16-1387- & SC2019-0099 c/o 1400 Gandy Boulevard #706 St. Petersburg, Florida [33702]

38) :Garry: Szyndlar 561-779-5125 tayconcm@aol.com 50-2009-CA-029370 c/o 2564 Gardens Parkway Palm Beach, Florida [33410]

39) :Mariza: Romero 661-585-0571 marizaacuna2016@gmail.com AIN: 3024-050-083 c/o 6851 Patterson Way Palmdale, California [93552]

40) :Rebecca: Cyphers 407-312-3696 rebeccarae2017@gmail.com 2010-CA-005507 c/o 6875 Nova Road St. Cloud, Florida [34771]

41) :David: Sweet, Jr. 407-375-9828 lilfelfriend@gmail.com 2009-CA-7978-14K P.O. Box 4024 Sanford, Florida [32772]

42) :Audrey: Sterling 407-402-1515 audrey.sterling@aol.com 2016-CA-002722 & 5D19-0973 c/o 2679 Tweed Run Sanford, Florida [32771]

43) :Arturo: Jenkins 951-210-5572 benz01000@yahoo.com RIF1300129, TEC002248, TEC10000745, TEC10010766, EDCR170084GW & 6:12BK20908MJ P.O. Box 589 Sun City, California [92586]

44) :Arlene-Marie: Hudson 951-210-5300 Noblejo2@yahoo.com RIF1300129, RIF1303391, TEC10000745, TEC10010700, EDCR170084GW,6:08BK21889 & EO72991 P.O. Box 8212 Moreno, California [92552]

45) :Earlene: Snowden 240-486-2405 earlenesnowden@msn.com CAE08-00517 c/o 4612 Bishop Carroll Drive Upper Marlboro, Maryland [20772]

46) :Kenneth: Snowden 240-524-0651 kenneth.e.snowden@gmail.com CAE98-11191 c/o 4612 Bishop Carroll Drive Upper Marlboro, Maryland [20772]

47) :Aaron: Graves 202-534-9086 aaron.ngraves@gmail.com CAEF14-25747 c/o 14122 Bishop Claggett Drive Upper Marlboro, Maryland [20772]

48) :Jennifer: Long 904-472-7233 nsbmanu@gmail.com 16-2012-CA-005288 P.O. Box 12919 Jacksonville, Florida [32209]

49) :Ernestine: Juhan 760-415-7028 una.juhan@hotmail.com 37201900024997CLUDCTL, 37201300080304CUORMC, 37201900033847CUORNC, 1200662LA7, 180673113, 1900774LA13& 1902359LA13 c/o 581 Rush Drive San Marcos, California [92078]

50) :Edith: Renfroe 904-629-6342 erenfroe36@comcast.net 14CA006052, 1D17-3038 & 1D18-2501 c/o 8000 Quailwood Drive Jacksonville, Florida [32256]

51) :Dale: Dawalt 760-889-1329 dlcapt@aol.com 11-06608-LT13, 20-00327-LT7, D075585, 201900200113CLUDCTL, 37-2019-000-29553-CU-OR-CTL, 37-2017-00041261-CL-UD-CTL, 17-01501-LT-13, 18-02994-LT123 & 18-04050-LT13 c/o 581 Rush Drive San Marco, California [92078]

52) :Leena: Hannonen 760-703-7708 leenaimages@gmail.com 37-2018-00061634-CU-OR-CTL & 37-2019-00028825-CL-UD-CTL P.O. Box 1896 Julian, California [92036]

53) :Kenjuan: Adams 661-400-5360 bennethughes@icloud.com MA029006, B224721, B193174, B224795, B227778 & B220498 c/o 44200 48th Street West Lancaster, California [93536]

54) :John: Akrabian 661-400-5360 marina.avtig@yahoo.com 19AVUD01582, 19AVCV00854, 2:10BK61058AA, 2:18BK20780WB & 2:19BK17688VZ c/o 1238 West Avenue H6 Lancaster, California [93534]

55) :Suren: Aramyan 702-275-6889 narinemamukyan@yahoo.com AIN: 1216066570 c/o 37048 Pine Valley Court Palmdale, California [93552]

56) :Hasmik-Jasmine: Abraamyan 818-427-2045 abraamyan_jasmine@yahoo.com 1:09-BK-13857-KT c/o 3655 East Avenue TZ Palmdale, California [93550]

57) :Geraldine: Watson 909-215-6265 gerribwatson@gmail.com 2:10CV09462BSKSS, UDRS702390 & UDRS1300545 c/o 154-A West Foothill Boulevard #151 Upland, California [91786]

58) :Barbara: Bratton 909-215-6265 Brae5558@gmail.com CIVRS1302392, EO63388, 2:12CV01235-DSF-DTB, FSB1302276 & UDRS1200959 c/o 154-A West Foothill Boulevard #154 Upland, California [91786]

59) :Charlie: Drea 909-215-6265 [redacted] 2:10-CV09447-PA-DTR, 11-55403, CIVRS1307416, 5:18-CV-02570-JCB-KKX, SMORS1301525 & UDFS1807640 c/o 12899 East Rancho Estates Place Rancho Cucanonga, California [91739]

60) :Brenda: Drea 909-215-6265 [redacted] 2:10-CV09447-PA-DTR, 11-55403, CIVRS1307416, 5:18-CV-02570-JCB-KKX, SMORS1301525, UDFS1807640 & 10-49-513-09-0000 c/o 12899 East Rancho Estates Place Rancho Cucanonga, California [91739]

61) :Dolly: Medlock 909-215-6265 [redacted] CV11-531-GW-SPX c/o 16843 Valley Blvd. Unit E. Apt. 511 Fontana, California [92335]

62) :Glenda: Murphy 909-215-6265 [redacted] CIVRS1102899, DOC11-2011-121366, CIVSD-1507473, EDCV-15-01938-DSA-SPX, EDCV-5:15-CV-01231-VAP-SPX, 15-56233, UDCT-UDFS—1505601, AC-AIS-150-00059, CIVDS-1507475, HOA-CIVDS-1601049 & 13-42418 c/o 5812 San Sevaine Road Rancho Cucanonga, California [91739]

63) :Wendy: Templeton 772-341-6184 [redacted] 2010-CA-002945 c/o 3201 South East Hibiscus Street Stuart, Florida [34997]

64) :Christine-Marie: Reeves 518-545-8457 [redacted] BZ83833M1, F:32110 ACDYF, 18/HUD/FHA:371-4532476 Index:904060, F25605, 20-10251-1, 20010349, 777980447, B7158V6NGJ, 137158V6NWB, B7158V6P25, B7158V6P52 & B7158V6PD9 c/o 54 The Crossway Delmar, New York [12054]

65) :Dnean-Paulette-Stokes: Guadagni 619-843-5618 [redacted] 37-2019-00008141-UD, 19-06736-CL13, 37-2019-00028898CI-OR-CTL, 18-06646-CL7, 18-01034-CL13 & 17-07374-CL13 c/o 1548 Peerless Drive El Cajon, California [92021]

Interested parties; public officials, representatives&agencies/agents that have received and been served the Remonstrance through the USPS mail, concerning the Powers V BONYM case and the 65 interested third parties/intervenors letters of support, for criminal indictments; for financial crimes against humanity.

1) President Donald J. Trump #EE 245463423 US& #EI 690295126 US
2) Governor Gavin Newsom, California #245463445 US& EI 690295130 US
3) Representative Marc Levine, California #EE 245463454 US& EI 690295109 US
4) AG Xavier Becerra, California #EE 245463406 US&#EI 690295090 US
5) Senator Lindsey Graham, Congress # EE 245463485 US& EI 690295143 US
6) AG William Barr, DOJ #EE 245463468 US& EI 690295174 US
7) U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit California #EE 245463471 US& EI 690295157 US
8) U.S. Secret Service, California Field Office #EE 245463410 US& EI 690295165 US
9) Representative Sean Casten, Illinois #7012 3460 0001 8740 6827 (Was Returned to Cole/Naif)
10) Representative Raja Krishnamoorthi, Illinois #7012 3460 0001 8740 6810
11) Senator Julie A. Morrison, Illinois #7012 3460 0001 8740 6834
12) Speaker of the House Michael Madigan, Illinois #7012 3460 0001 8740 6803
13) Governor J.B. Pritzker, Illinois #7012 3460 0001 8740 6858
14) AG John R. Lausch, Jr., Illinois #7012 3460 0001 8740 6865
15) U.S. Secret Service, Illinois Field Office # 7012 3460 0001 8740 6872
16) Governor Greg Abbott, Texas #9405 5036 9930 3099 16
17) Texas House of Representatives #9405 5036 9930 0272 3099 23
18) Texas House of Senate #9410 8036 9930 0119 0771 79
19) Constable William Beachner of Williamson, County Texas (Email)
20) Third Court of Appeals 03-19-00271-CV Darla Goulla V Randy Gool, Texas

21) Sheriff Brad Coe of Bracketville, Texas (Email)
22) Colorado Governor #70150640000249103671
23) Colorado AG #70150640000249103688
24) U.S. Secret Service Colorado Field Office #70150640000249103701
25) Senator Cory Gardner, Colorado #70150640000249103718
26) Congressman Ken Buck, Colorado #70150640000249103732
27) State Bar of California #7018 1130 0001 0891 4570
28) Nichelle Wilder, Loan Specialist (Realty), Dept. of Veterans Affairs, Regional Loan Center for the Ninth District, Arizona
29) Steve Yaninek, Senior VP Loan Originations, Florida
30) Congressman Jimmy Panetta #70160750000052825338
31) Dan Sullivan, Alaska FSID: 97017326 Faxed: RE: Aborted FBI Foreclosure Investigation in Florida, Remonstrance/Petition Part I and Part II 202-224-6501
32) Senator Lisa Merkouski, Alaska FSID: 97015191 Faxed: RE: Aborted FBI Foreclosure Investigation in Florida, Remonstrance/Petition Part I and Part II 202-224-5301
33) Representative Don Young, Alaska FSID: 97023821 Faxed: RE: Aborted FBI Foreclosure Investigation in Florida, Remonstrance/Petition Part I and Part II 202-225-0425
34) Senator Kaiali'I Kahele, Hawaii #EI 690295072 US
35) Representative Chris Toshiro Todd, Hawaii #EI 690295086 US
36) Governor David Y. Ige, Hawaii #EI 690295069 US
37) AG Clare E. Connors, Hawaii #EI 690295055 US
38) Secret Service, Field Office Hawaii #EI 690295041 US
39) Intermediate Court of Appeals, Hawaii #EI 690295038 US
40) SOS Jocelyn Benson, Michigan
41) Governor Gretchen Whitmer, Michigan #70171450000027994518
42) AG Dana Nessel, Michigan #70171450000027994532
43) U.S. Secret Service Field Office Michigan #70171450000027994525
44) Senator Hoon-Yung Hopgood, Michigan #70171450000027994549
45) House of Representative Alex Gurza, Michigan #70171450000027996321
46) Governor Ron DeSantis, Florida USPS First Class Mail, #9505516306570056380529, #9505516306570056380512, 70192280000031352647
47) AG Ashley Moody, Florida #9505516306570056380536, #70192280000031369782
48) Representative Vern Buchanon, Florida, USPS First Class Mail
49) Senator Joe Gruters, Florida, USPS First Class Mail
50) Secret Service Field Office Florida, USPS First Class Mail #9505516306570056380543, #70192280000031352586
51) AG Lawrence Keefe, Florida, USPS First Class Mail
52) Representative Ken Calvert, California sent to DC USPS First Class Mail
53) Oregon State House of Representatives #9407110200882242366580
54) Oregon State Senate Representatives #9407110200829242221273
55) Governor Kate Brown, Oregon #9407110200793280242715
56) US AG, Oregon #9407110200793280243538
57) Secret Service Field Office Oregon #9407110200883309996481
58) Representative Randy Voepel 71st District, California #70192970000202125991 & #9590940256289308779468
59) Senator Brian Jones, 38th District, California #70192970000202126073 & #9590940256289308778775
60) Senator Neil D. Breslin, New York #70190140000103657068
61) Representative Paul Tonko, New York #70190140000103657075
62) Governor Andrew M. Cuomo, New York #70190140000103657082
63) Secret Service Field Office, New York #70190140000103657099
64) AG Lititia James, New York #70190140000103657112
65) Congressman Scott Peters, California #940550369930027034845O
66) Senator Toni G. Atkins, California #9405503699300270348467
67) Representative Shedron D. Williams, South Carolina #70182290000127756074
68) Senator Margie Bright Matthews, South Carolina #70190160000038896840
69) U.S. Court of Administrative Office, D.C. #70182290000127756128

70) Representative James E. Clyburn 6th District, South Carolina #70182290000127756081
71) Governor Henry McMaster, South Carolina #70171450000221829593
72) AG Alan Wilson, South Carolina #70190160000038896819
73) U.S. Secret Service Field Office South Carolina #70190160000038896829
74) Senator Tim Scott, South Carolina/DC #70171450000221829586
75) US DOJ Steven H. Rosenbaum, Litigation #70182290000127756197
76) Supreme Court Chief Justice Donald W. Beatty, South Carolina #70190160000038896833
77) Representative Billy Mitchell, Georgia #70191640000021598988
78) Governor Brian Kemp, Georgia #70191640000021598995, #940550369930026719977 5
79) AG Christopher Carr, Georgia #70191640000021599008, 940550369930026719980 5
80) U.S. Secret Service, Georgia #70191640000021599022, #940550369930026719981 2
81) Senator Gloria S. Butler, Georgia #70191640000021599015
82) House of Senate, Georgia Paul Coverdell #940550369930026719978 2
83) Georgia Office Senate Cecil Terrell Miller #940550369930026719979 9
84) Tom Lackey, 36th District, California #70192280000083532233, #70182290000205548782, #70192280000083532141, #70192280000083532882, #70191640000027466144, #70190160000007807020, #70173040000002311522, #70191640000027466038
85) Assemblywoman Luz Rivas, California #70190700000166010288
86) Daniel E. Marshall, California, USPS First Class Mail
87) Congressman Juan Vargas, California USPS First Class Mail
88) Congresswoman Susan Davis, California USPS First Class Mail
89) Congressman Ken Calvert, California #70173380000078715137 & #70173380000078699949
90) Representative Marie Waldron, California #70182290000036197517
91) Assembly Member Tasha Boerner Horvath, District 76, California #70182290000361977609
92) Representative Richard Hudson, North Carolina #9505516654910059437469
93) Governor Roy A. Cooper III, North Carolina #9505516654910059437476
94) DOJ AG Joshua H. Stein, North Carolina #9505516654910059437483
95) U.S. Secret Service Field Office, North Carolina #9505516654910059437490
96) Senator Richard Burr, North Carolina/DC #9505516654910059437506
97) Clerk of Court William Warren "Bill" Baggs, North Carolina #9505516654910059437513
98) Special Superior Court Judge Marin Bristol McGee, North Carolina #9505516654910059437520
99) Clerk of the Court N.C. Court of Appeals Daniel M. Horne, North Carolina #9505516654910059437537
100) Senator Scott Wilk, California #70191640000027466137, 70190160000007807037, #70173040000002312151 5
101)U.S. Congressman Pete Aguilar, California USPS First Class Mail
102)Florida House State Assembly #9505516306570056380505, #70192280000031352548
103)House of Senators, Florida #9505516306570056380512, 70192280000031352593
104)Maryland State Senate Representative Bill Ferguson #70182290000049480736
105)Governor Larry Hogan, Maryland #70182290000049480729
106)AG Brian E. Frosh, Maryland #70162140000027480428
107) U.S. Secret Service Field Office Maryland #70101060000018657178
108)Maryland General Assembly #70182290000049480743

Be it also known that hundreds of emails & faxes not listed went to public servants and agencies across America, to include and not exclude those unknown, Congresswoman Maxine Waters, Orange County California Board of Supervisors and District Attorney Spitzer, Richard Delmar/head of OIG whistle blowers and Jane Norberg of the Securities and Exchange commission on behalf of whistle blowers.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES / CASE CITATIONS

United States Constitutional References

New York State Constitution

Cases

U.S. Codes

STATEMENT REGARDING ORAL ARGUMENT

This case is unique in that it allows the court to address a long standing, yet seemingly overlooked, problem. Inadequate Counsel and its current understood definition are incomplete in that the current Legal Monopoly (18c) has too much to gain by continuing to do so. Breach of Statutory Duty (1844), Per Citizen Petitions **U.S. Code › Title 15 › Chapter 53 › Subchapter I › § 2620 (a)**, should this Congress wish to hear Oral Arguments on this issue upon review of this brief, **Christopher Hallett with E-Clause, LLC** would be honored to accommodate such a request.

STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION

Enclosed within this Amicus Brief is information not in dispute; instead documented through established Practices and Procedures of Law, are in compliance, as Federal Court retains Subject Matter / Prosecutorial Jurisdiction. ***Conley v. Gibson, 355 U.S. 41 (1957); Gould v. Artisoft, Inc., 1 F.3d 544, 548 (7th Cir. 1993).*** Rather, a complaint should be construed broadly and liberally in conformity with the mandate in Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 8(f).

While this jurisdiction is not in dispute that the Federal Courts retained subject matter jurisdiction& prosecutorial jurisdiction, when I filed my civil lawsuit. What remains unsettling is the mere fact of all of the shenanigans done by the court and counsel for both parties to suppress evidence, witness testimony and deny due process of law afforded, to me the moving party who

paid for services to be rendered. AS in the proper discharging of one's duties.

Yet, with the third-party intervenor's letters of support and their own documented evidence of major human rights, civil rights and due process of law violations. There has not been one referral for criminal indictments on behalf of we the people. Not even an inquiry by any of the 108 different agents, agencies and/or public officials or elected representatives, whom have not reached out to any of the 65 parties who came forth, for criminal joinder for indictments and seeking justice and resolution/remedy in their own matters.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The "Emoluments Clause" of the Constitution does not provide immunity for Officials of Government lying within their "Official Capacity" now confirmed under ***Hardwick V. Vreeken, (2017)***. The clause was in fact written as a "Check and Balance Mechanism" to remove "Bad Actors" in government who through their Offices of Authority, under their color of authority, abuse that authority and inflict harm upon the citizens under Constitutional Protections. This establishes a "Fiduciary Duty of Service" under ***Article Four (4), Section Four (4) of the Constitution of the United States.*** That standing Definition is as follows:

Fiduciary Duty: is a legal duty to act solely in another party's interests. Parties owing this duty are called fiduciaries. The individuals to whom they owe a duty are called principals. Fiduciaries may not profit from their relationship with their principals unless they have the principals' express informed consent. They also have a duty to avoid any conflicts of interest between themselves and their principals or between their principals and the fiduciaries' other clients. A fiduciary duty is the strictest duty of care recognized by the US legal system. The following Breaches in Fiduciary Duty have resulted in Libelous entries into my Public Record File, the commission of Fraud/s upon me as a citizen of California, the Court, and **THE CONGRESS OF THE**

UNITED STATES. Libel: A published false statement that is damaging to a person's reputation; a written defamation.

What you are about to read is the monopolized version of "Social Control" which is in direct Conflict of Interest of the Constitutions intention of "Domestic Tranquility". Are these Fraud/s, Civil or Criminal? As my Constitutional Right to Due Process remains circumvented to date, i say we find out by way of a Grand Jury trial as demanded and in compliance of Federal Rules of Procedure. ***Warnock v. Pecos County, 88 F.3d 341 (5th Cir. 07/08/1996)***, The Eleventh Amendment does not protect state officials from claims for prospective relief when it is alleged that state officials acted in violation of federal law. The intention of this case is for the Grand Jury to decide who the "Bad Actors" are, and to what level does their involvement arise given the current status of events; being a "Preponderance of the Evidence", or "Beyond Reasonable Doubt". ***Owen v. City of Independence, 445 U.S. 622 (1980)***, was a case decided by the United States Supreme Court, in which the court held that a municipality has no immunity from liability under Section 1983 flowing from its constitutional violations and may not assert the good faith of its officers as a defense to such liability.

Here is what we have already established as fact in this case:

STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES/FACTS

1) Those who cannot remember the mistakes of the past are condemned to repeat it. ~ George Santayana

2) Any and every action/s taken in any court relies on a "Good Faith Standing" and "Trust" in that those action/s are "True and Valid". Any and every action taken by law enforcement relies on "Ethics" and "Public Trust", while "Protecting the Innocent" as their most significant "Fundamental Duty".

3) Knowing and understanding the establishment of a "Fiduciary / Principle" Relationship; Judicial Advocates are NOT currently held to this same High Standard of Practice as other professionals in our respective fields. In addition, the practice in question does so under a "Color of Authority".

4) My Liberty Interests have been infringed by Case Steering Tactics which include the selective admission of and selective exclusion of relevant evidence and witness testimony, in which the context of the proceeding is altered to Prejudice the Court against me, and pays no respect to validity or consequence.

5) I was denied a jury trial.

6) I have been repeatedly denied my true standing in law, as the woman in Pro per. Robbing me of my true political standing.

7) Courts of Equity have been perverted from their intended purpose to enforce equality into being used to force Financial Gains. (undisputed allegation).

8) We are under a Legal Monopoly (18c) in which its allegiances have become suspect to its Constitutional Role in Government.

9) The use of the Subject Matter Jurisdictional Threshold, in this context, "IS" an artificial construct as the Constitution, nor the Amendments, assert any such language in reference to Due Process. This threshold is clearly a way to manipulate the inclusion of fact/s without respect to validity or consequence.

10) Judges are now forced to practice law from the bench removing the "Blindfold of Justice". Often times in an effort to bring "Balance" to the "Scales of Justice".

11) *This BAR Monopoly has betrayed its Trust Relationship to the People of the United States by failing to assign them counsel in this case affording them Due Process.*

12) *As this Monopoly has failed; therefore, it is no longer qualified as a "Credible Source" for continued Exclusivity over Judicial Advocacy, Placement of Judges, and Prosecutorial Discretion.*

13) *The Facts, Evidence and Mechanisms used in this case remain undisputed, there was no witness testimony, in fact the only first hand facts of knowledge were held in affidavits on the record by Billie Rene" Frances Lillian Powers, Pro Per, no trial by jury is afforded, as requested and entered numerous times on the public record and in proceedings.*

Third party intervenors and interested parties for civil joinder of criminal actions for referrals to the DOJ for indictments, served upon the court their documents of support and evidence to the Powers case. The case of first impression mandating for the Congressional Banking regulations and evidence of fraud upon the court and financial crimes against humanity to be entered in fact, but the paperwork and evidence of the intervenors as interested civil joinder of criminal action parties were ordered destroyed by Judge David O. Carter. The evidence was lawfully served by each party on the court and court officers, filed into the court, recognized on the record by Judge Carter verbally on July 30-2018 wherein he recognized acting out sympathetically his knowledge of banking crimes stealing private property of the people, then in a twist of pious configuration Judge Carter rejected the recorded evidence of the people and ordered all evidence sent by Interested Parties-interveners-whistle blowers-witnesses and victims destroyed in that same court proceeding and on the record penned by the court transcriber. A direct violation of ***Title 18 U.S. Code § 2071 ;***

(a) Whoever willfully and unlawfully conceals, removes, mutilates, obliterates, or destroys, or attempts to do so, or, with intent to do so takes and carries away any record, proceeding, map, book, paper, document, or other thing, filed or deposited with any clerk or officer of any court of the United States, or in any public office, or

with any judicial or public officer of the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.

(b) Whoever, having the custody of any such record, proceeding, map, book, document, paper, or other thing, willfully and unlawfully conceals, removes, mutilates, obliterates, falsifies, or destroys the same, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both; and shall forfeit his office and be disqualified from holding any office under the United States. As used in this subsection, the term "office" does not include the office held by any person as a retired officer of the Armed Forces of the United States.

14) *i have paid my $400.00 to the Federal Court, demanded a jury trial, which was denied in spite of payment, and my $505.00 to the Appeals Court to proceed with services. This does not include other costs in other courts / lawyers, administrative processes I have incurred along the way as this case has progressed over 13 and 1/2 years of my life and my families. We cannot return the years of distress but we are seeking the remedy to the damages, this is our right to regress our grievances as is the right to be heard and given the remedy we seek.*

15) *Judge David O Carter told me to hire a lawyer to handle the case. He made me feel defeated without the ability to win without a lawyer he said i needed "representation". i have always been more than happy to pay for "Professional", "Ethical", "Honorable", "Legal Counsel". What i received for my payment was "Contempt", "Disrespect", "Arrogance", "Chaos" and "Dishonorable Services". Since when did the court get to decide which rules of established procedure it is going to follow? My research and experience first-hand indicate dictation of "Legal Procedures" is one of the "Tasks" of this Congress as per* ***U.S.C. Title 28, Judiciary and Judicial Procedure? (see Plain Writing Act of 2010, also E.O.'s 13563, 12866, 12988)****. With this fact i am extremely disappointed, to the point of utterly disheartened and have a no confidence stance, when it comes to the "legal system" and this BAR Monopoly. A system which seems to be in direct conflict with lawfulness.*

16) *The 3 Appellate Senior Judges ruled against my appeal on a matter their own rules are contrary to. As a matter of fact the rules for informal briefs are clear no case law*

is required and yet the one reason, entered as a footnote in the mandate issued by the Appellate Senior Judges, ruled against me claiming merely the one reason, "no case law was used", therefore no appeal was granted.

CASE SUMMARY

The following Breaches of Loyalty / Trust specifically ignoring the High Standards of Professional Practice, under a color of authority which resulted in continuing harm, in this case. Documented diagrams of E-Clause, LLC submitted within the Petition of Remonstrance do indicate, "they" were created as a result of Direct United States Congressional Action under Title IV of the Social Security Act to which these "Bad Actors" continue to financially benefit.

ORAL ARGUMENTS / CITATIONS OF AUTHORITY

COMMON LAW IS COMMON SENSE. GRANDJURORS [25]. It is the "DUTY" of the Common Law Grand Jury to expose all fraud and corruption whether it is in the political or judicial realm and stop it! The Authority of the Grand Jury is found only in the Bill of Rights;therefore, it comes from God and not government.

TRIAL JURORS [12]. It is the duty of the Jury to execute Justice and sometimes mercy, their decisions cannot be second guessed. "...The jury shall have the right to determine the law and the fact." **New York Constitution Article 1. §8 *"As understood at common law and as used in constitutional provision, jury imports a body of twelve men." State v. Dalton, 206 N.C. 507, 174 S.E. 422, 424;People ex rel. Cooley v. Wilder, 255 N.Y.S. 218, 222, 234 App. Div. 256;Hall v. Brown, 129 Kan. 859, 284 P. 396.***

JURY NULLIFICATION; "The jury has an unalienable right to judge both the law as well as the fact in controversy." —John Jay, 1st Chief Justice United States Supreme Court, 1789.

"The jury has the right to determine both the law and the facts." —Samuel Chase, Justice US Supreme Court, 1796 Signer of the unanimous Declaration 4.

"The jury has the power to bring a verdict in the teeth of both law and fact." —Oliver Wendell Holmes, Justice US Supreme Court, 1902.

Is this why the USDC for central California denied Powers the right to a jury trial? Stripping away all power from we the people, where facts and law are concerned? How is this not clear evidence of a monopoly and criminal enterprise called the American BAR Association? There is nothing American about having, we the people's liberty interests stripped from us, by foreign agents, in foreign Emoluments. Which is repugnant to a free and independent society.

JUSTICE;"It is the duty of the Justice to do justice. In common law the title Justice, is given in America to the judges of the supreme Court of the United States and of the appellate courts of many of the states. But "justice," being in itself a part of "virtue," is confined to things simply good or evil, and consists in a man's taking such a proportion of them as he ought." [Bouvier]

"Justice comes before liberty." Maxim of Law

Justice Scalia, writing for the majority in a 1997 decision, said that the "States are not subject to federal direction," and that the US Congress only had "discreet and enumerated powers," and that federal impotency was "rendered express" by the Tenth Amendment. He confirmed that the Sheriff is the Chief Law Enforcement Officer (CLEO) of the county, and also proclaimed that the States "retained an inviolable sovereignty."

Scalia went even further in this landmark decision, one in which two small-town sheriffs headed the Feds "off at the pass" and sent them on their way. Scalia, in his

infinite obligation to the Constitution, took this entire ruling to the tenth power when he said, "The Constitution protects us from our own best intentions…so that we may resist the temptation to concentrate power in one location as an expedient solution to the crisis of the day." Obviously, the Sheriff is the Peoples' last line of defense against a government gone rouge.

The county sheriff is the last line of defense when it comes to upholding and defending the Constitution. The sheriff's duties and obligations go far beyond writing tickets, arresting criminals and operating jails. The Sheriff also has an obligation to protect the Constitutional rights of the citizens in our counties. This includes the right to free speech, the right to assemble, and the right to bear arms. Remember the oath.

Sheriffs took an oath to uphold and defend the Constitution from enemies foreign AND domestic. In the history of our world, it is government tyranny that has violated the freedoms granted to us by our Creator more than any other. And it is the duty of the sheriff to protect their counties from those that would take away our freedoms—both foreign AND domestic—whether it is a terrorist from China or a bureaucrat from Washington, DC.

However, it is apparent based upon the Powers case, the Sheriffs' have lost their way and failed to come to the defense of we the people. Orange county, California Sheriff's did not come to myaid, in fact they swat teamed me and my homestead twice, once to assist a cell tower company in trespass and second to force me out of myown homestead. Stealing every family heirloom, irreplaceable private property, furnishings, including my delicate whites. Requests by attorney Richard Snyder, who represented me at the time of excessive force removal, for retrieval were denied and our family lost lifetimes of memories and belongings.

DuPage county Sheriff's in Wheaton, Illinois failed third party intervenor :Valerie-Lynn: Naif to protect her, as bailiff security in the courtroom of Judge Jane H. Mitton. Not only did the Sheriff's fail to protect and defend one of the people, they inflicted bodily harm, upon her physical body in open session of court, in front of her own three family members. Of course, these courts routinely are clearing out the courts from other first-hand witnesses by standers, so it's the family of the victim's words against those in public service.

BAILIFF. Officers who perform the duties of sheriffs within liberties or privileged jurisdictions, in which formerly the king's writ could not be executed by the sheriff. One to whom some authority, care, guardianship, or jurisdiction is delivered, committed, or entrusted; one who is deputed or appointed to take charge of another's affairs; an overseer or superintendent; a keeper, protector, or guardian; a steward. (Spellman: A sheriff's officer or deputy. 1 Bl. Comm. 34); A court attendant. It is the duty of all above to correct injustice in as much as it is in their power to do so. If correction is not possible, it is the duty of the court officer(s) to report the problem to the protectors of the People—the Grand Jury—in who's' hand justice has been entrusted.

How many multiple agencies and agents were informed of this criminal trespass against :Valerie: and yet not one public official in any capacity has come forth with any indictments, testimony or seeking of any evidence from :Valerie: the victim of severe human rights violations? When clearly it is their duty and obligations based upon their Emoluments?

Charlene Von Schlesien a committee member and interested third-party intervenor who held a land patent on her home, was never officially charged with any official charges and spent 3 ½ years of a 5-year sentence in prison, for defending her property rights. On top of the blatant disregard for due process of law and

ethics in integrity, Charlene's home was burnt down/arson on the land she patented? Clearly, we have a lawless and corruption issue, due to lack of proper training and education in the basic fundamentals of due process of law and the common law. In which is clearly a Domestic Violence issue all together, happening within the highest offices of "government". Being perpetuated by and through the Sheriffs who hold the highest authority in our lands within the several states.

How is this Domestic Violence/Insurrection issue not anything less than Treason? Being the length of time, these matters have gone on, without justice and resolution/remedy being afforded to any of the 65 plus interested third-party intervenors in the Powers case any different than even ***MISPRISION OF TREASON—USC 18 §2382***, for those who failed to do their job? Whoever having knowledge of treason, conceals and does not make known the same to some judge, is guilty of treason for contempt against the sovereign, and shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than seven years, or both.

The blatant disregard for the Law, being laid down, long before the institutions of government, that many of the 65 third-party intervenors in this case have endured through the judiciary machinery, is what has led us to redress our grievances through petition before the Legislature. Due to the court shenanigans, destroying public records and evidence, caused another case to be filed by one of the third-party intervenors Faith Brashear in the Brashear V U.S. case in the Supreme Court, under seal.

These blatant obstruction of justice by those sitting in positions of the Public Trusts under their Emoluments. Is what ultimately lead to the Petition of Remonstrance to be filed by third-party intervenors and members of the National Committee in support of attorney Bruce Jacobs Miami-Florida Resolution 6021. In which is a resolution

to sanction the actions of such court officers/BAR attorneys who are committing fraud upon the judiciary machinery.

BRIBERY—***USC 18 §201 Bribery*** of any public official directly or indirectly, gives, offers, or promises anything of value to any person to influence any official act. Anyone who has been following the Powers V BONYM case could clearly deduct that attorney Angela Swan was bribed to botch the criminal joinder of the interested third-party intervenors as well as held her BAR License privilege to a higher standard than the duty to uphold the law and counsel Powers accordingly for the services she was hired to perform.

Again, the Petition of Remonstrance hits on the unconstitutional ***Federal Reserve Act of 1913*** that is at the root cause of these financial crimes against Americans and frankly humanity world-wide. Clearly, there is a conflict of interests within the judiciary based upon that foreign fourth pillar in law BAR privilege license that rewards these foreign agents according to their attorning over the property rights, assets and equity in these unlawful and illegal foreclosures.

In which has caused these inferior courts to act in ***CONSPIRACY AGAINST RIGHTS—USC 18 §241;*** ***"If two or more persons conspire to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate any person in any State in the free exercise or enjoyment of any right, they shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both"***.

While in the course of the conspiring causing, ***DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS—USC 18 §242***; ***"Whoever, under color of any law, statute, ordinance, regulation, or custom, willfully subjects any person in any State the deprivation of any rights, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both."***

In the course of their RICO and Racketeering causing, ***CONCEALMENT—USC 18 §2071;*** ***"Whoever willfully and unlawfully conceals, removes, mutilates, obliterates, or destroys, or attempts to do so, documents filed or deposited with any clerk or officer of any court, shall be fined or imprisoned not more than three years, or both."***

As laid out in my declaration to the shenanigans this case has been obstructed with at every turn, including the acts or the inactions of the clerk of the court. ***CLERK IS TO FILE—USC 18 §2076;*** ***"Whoever, being a clerk, willfully refuses or neglects to make or forward any report, certificate, statement, or document as required by law, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both."***

DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS—USC 42 §1983; ***"Every person who, under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any State subjects, or causes to be subjected, any person within the jurisdiction thereof to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws, shall be liable to the party injured in an action at law."***

CONSPIRACY TO INTERFERE—USC 42 §1985; ***"If two or more persons in any State or Territory conspire for the purpose of depriving, either directly or indirectly any persons rights the party so injured or deprived may have an action for the recovery of damages against any one or more of the conspirators."***

NEGLECT TO PREVENT—USC 42 §1986; ***"Every person who, having knowledge that any of the wrongs conspired to be done or are about to be committed, and having power to prevent or aid in preventing the commission of the same, neglects or refuses so to do, if such wrongful act be committed, shall be liable to the party injured."***

Consent and Jurisdiction; it's all about Consent and Jurisdiction. In order to possess liberty, it is extremely important that you understand consent: our servant

government cannot do anything without our consent. Any authority our servants have is by our consent; if they act outside their authority, they are subject to criminal charges under ***US Codes 42 and 18***, and are liable for damages under US Codes and common law.

Clearly, anyone with common sense who reads the Powers V BONYM case can see i brought the case as the woman in Pro per. Not pro se, not as some fictional PERSON or ENTITY or CORPORATION. Brought forth by private right of action, as one of the sovereign Californian people. With evidence filed on the Public record as to my true political status, that Congress never had the delegated authority to change any American's political status to be a "subject" to any of these inferior, territorial, municipal courts that are working against our ***GUARANTEED Republic***; ***Article 4, Section 4*** in the Constitution for the United States.
The U.S. Constitution only authorizes "common law courts," also known as "courts of record." A court of record removes the power of the Judge to make a ruling; his role is that of the "administrator" of the court. The final decision maker is the "tribunal," who is either the "sovereign plaintiff" or a "jury."

i was obstructed and denied a jury trial. i was denied bringing forth witness testimony, as my original brief kept getting stripped of all the merits of the case, this case in which i outlined the very criminal RICO and Racketeering of the BAR (third-party debt collectors) on behalf of the Banks and Fed Reserve who are the usurpers of the Citizenry. In which all of these debt obligations are those of the United States. On top of that to have interested third-parties documents and evidence destroyed and stripped of their private right of actions to support the outcomes of this first impression case to go after the criminals eating out the substance of, we the people.

Remember, the servant cannot rule over the master; can the clay rule over the potter?

Cruden v. Neale ***"Every man is independent of all laws, except those prescribed by nature. He is not bound by any institutions formed by his fellowman without his consent."***

THE REAL LAW; The common law is the real law, the Supreme Law of the land; the code, rules, regulations, policy and statutes are not the law. Legislated statutes enforced upon the people in the name of law is a fraud. It has no authority and is without mercy. Justice without mercy is Godless, and therefore repugnant to our United States Constitution. Lawmakers were given authority by the people to legislate codes, rules, regulations, and statutes which are policies, procedures, to control the behavior of bureaucrats, elected and appointed officials, municipalities and agencies, but were never given authority to control the behavior of the people—as we read in a US Supreme court decision: ***"All laws, rules and practices which are repugnant to the Constitution are null and void."*** ***Marbury -v-Madison, 5th US (2 Cranch) 137, 174, 176, 1803.***

In fact, the American Bar Association claims the Magna Carta as the foundation of law. i used the Magna Carta as a claim for justice, yet was mocked by court officers for my determination to have property rights upheld via this "foundational substance". This fact shows further disregard for the law of which the BAR is set under. The Magna Carta is foundationally in place but disregarded by the officers of the court.

Legislators simply do not have the authority to rule make.When you read the mandate/order coming from the Ninth Circuit Appellant Court, they dismissed the merits of this case, based upon some trivial rule about not using case law in my informal briefs? Contrary to the rules of the "informal Brief " from the court which state case law is not required. Yet, case law was used in the Informal Appellant Brief and my Informal Appellant Answers to Appeallee briefs, to show precedence that has

already been established. Why are these inferior courts not even abiding by the supreme Court stare decises precedence or their own rules of procedure?

Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436, 491; "Where rights secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rule making or legislation which would abrogate them."

RIGHTS AND SOVEREIGNTY; Only people are sovereign and have rights. Bureaucrats, in their capacity, are not sovereign and have no rights. They have authority given by the people and are subject to the statutes. **Hurtado v. People of the State of California, 110 U.S. 516, "The state cannot diminish rights of the people."**

Davis v. Wechsler, 263 US 22, 24 "The assertion of federal rights [Bill of Rights], when plainly and reasonably made, is not to be defeated under the name of local practice."

REMEDY FOR EVERY INJURY; "Every right when with-held must have a remedy, and every injury its proper redress." —William Blackstone.

Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. 137, 1803; "The Government of the United States has been emphatically termed a government of laws, and not of men. It will certainly cease to deserve this high appellation if the laws furnish no remedy for the violation of a vested legal right."

Hoke vs. Henderson, 15, N.C. 15, 25 AM Dec 677; "That statutes which would deprive a citizen of the rights of person or property without a regular trial, according to the course and usage of common law, would not be the law of the land."

Olmstead v. U.S., 277 U.S. 438, 478, 1928 "The right to be let alone—the most comprehensive of rights and the right most valued by civilized men. To protect that right, every unjustifiable intrusion by the government

upon the privacy of the individual, whatever the means employed, must be deemed a violation of the Fourth Amendment."

The court belongs to the sovereign, plaintiff (people). Black's Law Dictionary, 5th Edition, page 318 defines the court as "The person and suit of the sovereign; the place where the sovereign sojourns with his regal retinue, wherever that may be." In the US Supreme Court case ***Isbill v. Stovall***; the court was defined as ***"An agency of the sovereign created by it directly or indirectly under its authority, consisting of one or more officers, established and maintained for the purpose of hearing and determining issues of law and fact regarding legal rights and alleged violations thereof, and of applying the sanctions of the law, authorized to exercise its powers in the course of law at times and places previously determined by lawful authority."*** "Judicial notice, or knowledge upon which a judge is bound to act without having it proved in evidence." (Black's Law 4th edition) Take Judicial notice that judges are bound by oath to obey American Jurisprudence book.

JUDGES SWORN TO OBEY; "Since the constitution is intended for the observance of the judiciary as well as other departments of government and the judges are sworn to support its provisions, the courts are not at liberty to overlook or disregard its commands or counteract evasions thereof, it is their duty in authorized proceedings to give full effect to the existing constitution and to obey all constitutional provisions irrespective of their opinion as to the wisdom or the desirability of such provisions and irrespective of the consequences, thus it is said that the courts should be in our alert to enforce the provisions of the United States Constitution and guard against their infringement by legislative fiat or otherwise in accordance with these basic principles, the rule is fixed that the duty in the proper case to declare a law unconstitutional cannot be declined and must be performed in accordance with the

delivered judgment of the tribunal before which the validity of the enactment it is directly drawn into question. If the Constitution prescribes one rule and the statute another in a different rule, it is the duty of the courts to declare that **the Constitution** and not the statute governs in cases before them for judgment."; 16Am Jur 2d., Sec. 155.

"Thus, the particular phraseology of the constitution of the United States confirms and strengthens the principle, supposed to be essential to all written constitutions, that a law repugnant to the constitution is void, and that courts, as well as other departments, are bound by that instrument." After more than 200 years this decision still stands, ***Marbury v. Madison 5 U.S. 137, 1803.*** All cases which have cited Marbury v. Madison case to the Supreme Court have never been overturned. (See Shephard's Citation of Marbury v. Madison).

The constitution was ordained and established by the people "for" the United States of America, aka government. Therefore, government was created by an act of the people. Therefore, the creation cannot trump the creator. ***"If any statement, within any law, which is passed, is unconstitutional, the whole law is unconstitutional."***, ***Marbury v. Madison: 5 US 137, 1803***.

Hoke vs. Henderson;15, N.C.15,25 AM Dec 677, "Therefore no legislation..that statutes which would deprive a citizen of the rights of person or property without a regular trial, according to the course and usage of commonlaw, would not be the law of the land."

INTERPRETATION; Any constitutional provision intended to confer a benefit should be liberally construed in favor in the clearly intended and expressly designated beneficiary. ***"Then a constitution should receive a literal interpretation in favor of the Citizen, is especially true, with respect to those provisions which were designed to safeguard the liberty and security of***

the Citizen in regard to person and property." 16Am Jur 2d: 16Am Jur 2d., Sec. 97; Bary v. United States - 273 US 128.

16 Am Jur 2d., Sec. 117. "Various facts of circumstances extrinsic to the constitution are often resorted to; by the courts, to aid them and determining its meaning; as previously noted however, such extrinsic aids may not be resorted to where the provision in the question is clear and unambiguous in such a case the courts must apply the terms of the constitution as written and they are not at liberty to search for meanings beyond the instrument."

BASIS OF ALL LAW; Nisi Prius courts rely on statutes—which is fiction of law, which seeks to control the behavior of the sovereign people who are under common law and not statutes, and who ordained and established the law. Therefore, legislators cannot legislate the behavior of the people. This is exactly why we are following due process of law, to pre-establish the common law jurisdiction by which this case was brought initially and in continuing to appeal. What can only be equated with foreign occupants, occupying Public offices of trust, who are in defiance of the law of land.

In *Owen v. Independence 100 Vol. Supreme Court Reports. 1398: [1982];Main v. Thiboutot 100 Vol. Supreme Court Reports. 2502, 1982;"The right of action created by statute relating to deprivation under color of law, of a right secured by the constitution and the laws of the United States and comes claims which are based solely on statutory violations of Federal Law and applied to the claim that claimants had been deprived of their rights, in some capacity, to which they were entitled."*

U.S. v. Lee, 106 U.S. 196, 220 1 S. Ct. 240, 261, 27 L. Ed 171; 1882. "No man in this country is so high that he is above the law. No officer of the law may set that law at defiance with impunity. All the officers of the

government, from the highest to the lowest, are creatures of the law and are bound to obey it.... It is the only supreme power in our system of government, and every man who, by accepting office participates in its functions, is only the more strongly bound to submit to that supremacy, and to observe the limitations which it imposes on the exercise of the authority which it gives."

In Cooper v. O'Conner, 99 F.2d 133;"There is a general rule that a ministerial officer who acts wrongfully, although in good faith, is nevertheless liable in a civil action and cannot claim the immunity of the sovereign."

Further in, Cooper v. Aaron, 358 U.S. 1, 78 S. Ct. 1401; 1958; "Any judge who does not comply with his oath to the Constitution of the United States wars against that Constitution and engages in acts in violation of the supreme law of the land. The judge is engaged in acts of treason."
Also, in, Davis v. Burris, 51 Ariz. 220, 75 P.2d 689; 1938; "A judge must be acting within his jurisdiction as to subject matter and person, to be entitled to immunity from civil action for his acts."

Clearly, we are showing a clear pattern of where these Courts are showing bias and privilege to officers of the court, as the BAR MONOPOLY. Which shows a clear conflict of interest based upon precedence in Hoffsomer v. Hayes, 92 Okla 32, 227 F. 417; "The courts are not bound by an officer's interpretation of the law under which he presumes to act."

If the USDC for the central district of California is not able to recognize the sovereign private right of action by i, Billie Rene' Frances Lillian Powers. Then the Court merely only added injury to injury; where their subject matter discretion is not only alarming, but clearly a usurpation of authority. As in, Piper v. Pearson, 2 Gray 120, cited in Bradley v. Fisher, 13 Wall. 335, 20 L.Ed.

646; 1872; "Where there is no jurisdiction, there can be no discretion, for discretion is incident to jurisdiction."

Concerning the private right actions brought forth by this civil lawsuit in Powers v. BONYM case. In ***Mugler v. Kansas 123 U.S. 623, 659-60;"Under our system of government upon the individuality and intelligence of the citizen, the state does not claim to control him/her, except as his/her conduct to others, leaving him/her the sole judge as to all that affects himself/ herself."***

Furthermore, this is also supported by the ***Maxim of Law "Everyone is the manager and disposer of his own matters."***

We the people are a Republic, not a democracy, which is just the first step to an Oligarchy. A REPUBLICAN form of government is one in which the powers of sovereignty are vested in the people and are exercised by the people, either directly, or through representatives chosen by the people, to whom those powers are specially delegated;***In re Duncan, 139 U.S. 449, 11 S.Ct. 573, 35 L.Ed. 219;Minor v. Happersett, 88 U.S. (21 Wall.) 162, 22 L.Ed. 627. Black's Law Dictionary, Fifth Edition, p. 626.***

Yet, we the people are being brainwashed with the Main Stream Media Propaganda on the television constantly referring to our Nation as a DEMOCRACY. A Democracy is that form of government in which the sovereign power resides in and is exercised by the whole body of free citizens directly or indirectly through a system of representation, as distinguished from a monarchy, aristocracy, or oligarchy; ***Black's Law Dictionary, 5th Edition, p. 388;Bond v. U.S. SCOTUS recognizes personal sovereignty, June 16, 2011.***

The DUTY OF COURTS; *"It is the duty of the courts to be watchful for the Constitutional rights of the citizen and against any stealthy encroachments thereon.";see Boyd v. United States, 116 U.S. 616, 635.*

See also, Downs v. Bidwell, 182 U.S. 244; 1901 "It will be an evil day for American Liberty if the theory of a government outside supreme law finds lodgment in our constitutional jurisprudence. No higher duty rests upon this Court than to exert its full authority to prevent all violations of the principles of the Constitution."

Usurpation is very clearly defined in, Cohen v. Virginia, (1821), 6 Wheat. 264 and U.S. v. Will, 449 U.S. 200; "We (judges) have no more right to decline the exercise of jurisdiction which is given, than to usurp that which is not given. The one or the other would be treason to the Constitution."

At law according to [Bouvier's]this phrase is used to point out that a thing is to be done according to the course of the common law; it is distinguished from a proceeding in equity. Any court that ignores due process; all statutory courts ignore due process and is not a common law court; common law courts are "courts of record;" in all courts of record the tribunal is the sovereign plaintiff(s) of the court or the Jury. The Justice is the administrator and reflects the wish of the sovereign, or jury, because the people rule—not government servants.

This is very well established in,People v. Skinner, Cal., 110 P.2d 41, 45;State v. Rossi, 71 R.I. 284, 43 A.2d 323, 326;Direct Plumbing Supply Co. v. City of Dayton, 138 Ohio St. 540, 38 N.E.2d 70, 72, 137 A.L.R. 1058;Stoner v. Higginson, 316 Pa. 481, 175 A. 527, 531"Law of the land," "due course of law," and "due process of law" are synonymous.

In, Lansing v. Smith, 4 Wend. 9 (N.Y.) (1829), 21 Am. Dec. 89 10C Const. Law Sec. 298; 18 C Em.Dom. Sec. 3, 228; 37 C Nav.Wat. Sec. 219; Nuls Sec. 167; 48 C Wharves Sec. 3, 7; "The people of this State, as the successors of its former sovereign, are entitled to all the rights which formerly belonged to the King by his prerogative."

Concerning liberty in principles there are three (3) basic principles mastered in three powers; 1. Light (God); 2. Justice , synonymous with virtue (Judicial process); 3. Rule of destiny (political process). Remove any one and you lose Liberty. This case was brought forth with my liberty interests in mind.

Maxims of law avow that justice and virtue are synonymous. Before a man can implement justice, he must first possess virtue, which the Bible declares flows from the Lord alone ***(Luke 6:19)***, and defines virtue as whatsoever things are true, honest, just, pure, lovely, and of good report ***(Phil 4:8)***. The Lord further expounds saying the wisdom that is from above is first pure, then peaceable, gentle, and easy to be entreated, full of mercy and good fruits, without partiality, and without hypocrisy ***(James 3:17)***, and that he that follows after it establishes righteousness and honor ***(Prov 21:21)***.
Yick Wo v. Hopkins ***"Sovereignty itself is, of course, not subject to law, for it is the author and source of law; but in our system, while sovereign powers are delegated to the agencies of government, sovereignty itself remains with the people, by whom and for whom all government exists and acts And the law is the definition and limitation of power...."***.

I am Non-Partisan. George Washington said it best A partisan person is "one who is blindly or unreasonably devoted to party positions." Therefore, a partisan cannot possibly serve the constitution. George Washington warned us against political parties when he said, "they only succeed in pitting one group against another."

While it is clear we declared our independence from the England Tyrant's in the Declaration of Independence. With these Roman Canon Laws, one might ask themselves, why we never declared our independence from Rome/Venice the Venetians/Phoenicians. Clearly, one can see i have been denied due process of law, the process of law guaranteed to me in my guaranteed Republic form of government. As well as being denied

the rights to subrogation, in which justice was obstructed by failing to afford me the process by which the law guarantees due process of law, with a jury trial and the ability to have witness testimony.

ROMAN CANON LAW 3.3 Rights Suspension and Corruption Article 100 - Cestui Que Vie Trust Canon 2057: ***"Any Administrator or Executor that refuses to immediately dissolve a Cestui Que (Vie) Trust, or if the man/woman wants to take control upon their Private Person establishing their status and competency, The Fiduciary is guilty of fraud and fundamental breach of their fiduciary duties requiring their immediate removal and punishment."***

Canon 2045: By 1815 and the bankruptcy of the Crown and Bank of England by the Rothschilds, for the 1st time, the Cestui Que Vie Trusts of the United Kingdom became assets placed in private banks effectively becoming "private trusts" or "Fide Commissary Trusts" administered by commissioners (guardians). From 1835 and the Wills Act, these private trusts have been also considered "Secret Trusts" whose existence does not need to be divulged. Clearly with the municipal bonds, as evidenced by the CUSIP numbers of the interested third-parties court of records, filed into this case by such parties as Eugene Warner and LeAnne Atkins. In which are performance, bid and payment bonds, bonding these municipal cases is clear to see the conflicts of interests that exist. By the fact that the Federal Reserve Bank has privileged to such BAR MONOPOLY and officers of the Court, by these Private Trusts that are in fact, we the peoples private probate estates.

Canon 2046: From 1917/18 with the enactment of the Sedition Act and the Trading with the Enemy Act in the United States and through the United Kingdom, the citizens of the Commonwealth and the United States became effectively "enemies of the state" and "aliens" which in turn converted the "Fide Commissary" private

secret trusts to "Foreign Situs" (Private International) Trusts.

Canon 2047: In 1931, the Roman Cult, also known as the Vatican created the Bank for International Settlements for the control of claimed property of associated private central banks around the world. Upon the deliberate bankruptcy of most countries, private central banks were installed as administrators and the global Cestui Que Vie/Foreign Situs Trust system was implemented from 1933 onwards.

Canon 2048: Since 1933, when a child is borne in a State(Estate) under inferior Roman law, three (3) Cestui Que (Vie) Trusts are created upon certain presumptions, specifically designed to deny the child forever any rights of Real Property, any Rights as a Free Person and any Rights to be known as man and woman rather than a creature or animal, by claiming and possessing their Soul or Spirit.

Canon 2049: Since 1933, upon a new child being borne, the Executors or Administrators of the higher Estate willingly and knowingly convey the beneficial entitlements of the child as Beneficiary into the 1st Cestui Que(Vie) Trust in the form of a Registry Number by registering the Name, thereby also creating the Corporate Person and denying the child any rights as an owner of Real Property.

Canon 2050: Since 1933, when a child is borne, the Executors or Administrators of the higher Estate knowingly and willingly claim the baby as chattel to the Estate. The slave baby contract is then created by honoring the ancient tradition of either having the ink impression of the feet of the baby onto the live birth record, or a drop of its blood as well as tricking the parents to signing the baby away through the deceitful legal meanings on the live birth record. This live birth record as a promissory note is converted into a slave bond sold to the private reserve bank of the estate and

then conveyed into a 2nd and separate Cestui Que (Vie) Trust per child owned by the bank. Upon the promissory note reaching maturity and the bank being unable to "seize" the slave child, a maritime lien is lawfully issued to "salvage" the lost property and itself monetized as currency issued in series against the Cestui Que (Vie) Trust.

<u>Canon 2051</u>: Each Cestui Que Vie Trust created since 1933 represents one of the 3 Crowns representing the 3 claims of property of the Roman Cult, being Real Property, Personal Property and Ecclesiastical Property and the denial of any rights to men and women, other than those chosen as loyal members of the society and as Executors and Administrators.

<u>Canon 2052</u>: The Three (3) Cestui Que Vie Trusts are the specific denial of rights of Real Property, Personal Property and Ecclesiastical Property for most men and women, corresponds exactly to the three forms of law available to the Galla of the Bar Association Courts. The first form of law is corporate commercial law is effective because of the 1st Cestui Que Vie Trust. The second form of law is maritime and trust law is effective because of the 2nd Cestui Que Vie Trust. The 3rd form of law is Talmudic and Roman Cult law is effective because of the 3rd Cestui Que Vie Trust of Baptism.

<u>Canon 2053:</u> The Birth Certificate issued under Roman Law represents the modern equivalent to the Settlement Certificates of the 17th century and signifies the holder as a pauper and effectively a Roman Slave. The Birth Certificate has no direct relationship to the private secret trusts controlled by the private banking network, nor can it be used to force the administration of a state or nation to divulge the existence of these secret trusts.

<u>Canon 2054:</u> As the Cestui Que Vie Trusts are created as private secret trusts on multiple presumptions including the ongoing bankruptcy of certain national estates, they remain the claimed private property of the Roman

Cult banks and therefore cannot be directly claimed or used.

<u>Canon 2055:</u> While the private secret trusts of the private central banks cannot be directly addressed, they are still formed on certain presumptions of law including claimed ownership of the name, the body, the mind and soul of infants, men and women. Each and every man and woman have the absolute right to rebuke and reject such false presumptions as a member of One Heaven and holder of their own title.

<u>Canon 2056:</u> Given the private secret trusts of the private central banks are created on false presumptions, when a man or woman makes clear their Live Borne Record and claim over their own name, body, mind and soul, any such trust based on such false presumptions ceases to have any property.

This is why this first impression case and the Brashear v. U.S. case another first impression case ,were brought forth; as these are financial crimes against humanity, human trafficking on paper and domestic violence via weaponized courts acting under the color of law. It is not only repugnant to our Nation America, who is a beacon of freedom, hope and light to the world. But, all of these acts of the Legislature (policy) whereby, the changing of our sovereign political status, under the pledging and registering of our biological family members, was usurped at what they call the live berth or live certificate of birth. Usurping that which belongs to God, at the moment of life at the divine spark fertilization. This now has exposed not only a great conflict in that which is lawful vs legal. Butalso, that which is good vs that which is evil.

*No official with an IQ greater than room temperature in Alaska could claim that he or she did not know that the conduct at the center of this case violated both state and federal law.Perjury is a crime under both federal and California state law, as is the knowing submission of false evidence to a court. **<u>18 U.S.C. § 1621; Cal. Penal</u>***

Code § 118. Both crimes make no distinction between criminal and civil proceedings. This malicious criminal behavior is hardly conduct for which qualified immunity is either justified or appropriate.

The Fore Fathers knew that "Absolute Power corrupts Absolutely" and wrote language against the high-power European bankers and lawyers of the time period in order to ensure any power granted could not be abused or misinterpreted without consequences to the abuser/s. That Prosecutorial Language per the Constitutions ***original 13th Amendment*** reads as follows... ***"If any citizen of the United States shall accept, claim, receive, or retain, any title of nobility or honor, or shall, office or emolument of any kind whatever, from any emperor, king, prince, or foreign power, such person shall cease to be a citizen of the United States, and shall be incapable of holding any office of trust or profit under them, or either of them."***

This language is the Prosecutorial Language granting authority to remove "Oath Takers" who violate their oaths of office. The fifty-six (56) men who frame worked the Constitution had the foresight to add this "Check and Balance" as a means to limit government to respect the "Rule of Law", and prevent the Constitution from being used to "Rule by Law". The history of this language indicates it has already been ratified in the original Thirteen (13) States; therefore, the only dispute is its "lack of reference" in the current "Print Copy" used by Government and the current Legal Monopoly (18c).

The need to remove the "Hostile Elements" from the current Monopoly which currently uses the "Judiciary Scale" as a "Hammer" is ridiculously obvious. (Judge Carter gave himself the nickname "Sledgehammer" when he promised to recommend indictments when i proved fraud, fraud i proved) What you're attempting, with the proposed action against me without cause, is nothing short of "Government Sanctioned Slavery". A color of authority NOT granted to this, or any other court or

House of Honor subject to Constitutional Limitations of Law.

The current known "Defects in the Practice of law" center around a "Monopolized Interpretation of Law" in Conflict of Interest with Constitutional Mandates. That Conflict of Interest being the current version of "Social Control" verses the written Mandate of "Domestic Tranquility". Which leads me to my final thought in reference to that end... We the People of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice, insure domestic Tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the general Welfare, and secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

As stated throughout these proceedings the necessity to pay due respect to the "Living Law Concept" in which the Constitution can be readily available should the need arise is a "Hallmark Concept" on which THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA stand. It is also why "We the People" expect and demand "Professional Ethical Standards" be maintained at all times. When those "Standards" are called into question; it is for "The People" to decide what those rules should look like. In this case, adding a "Licensure Requirement" to the Rules of the Court which defines where "Judicial Advocates Stand" has become necessary. When Advocates' allegiances become "Suspect" based on "Core Concepts of Law"; this becomes a concern of consequence in and of itself. The relative "Subject Matter of Consequence" becomes secondary with respect to facts and evidence.

That living law concept is clearly a HUGE issue in the course of one who manages and disposes his own matters. As it is the living wo/men that make up the body of we the people. This Roman Cult Dead Legal System is anti-thesis and repugnant to our Confederate Republic.

These privileges or benefits that arise out of those who are legislating such acts of policy converting rights into such "Legal" acts is clearly a usurpation of authority and the Law. As I have experienced and do understand the fact it is all this Monopoly does, is usurp powers and authorities not delegated to them through their mere presumption and assumptions of the Law. But when it becomes "Self-Evident" it is past time to "Pull the Plug" as mandated by The Declaration of Independence. The current thinking in that the only people "Qualified" are Monopoly BAR Members is "Ludicrous", even borderline "Insane".

In a limited Government, the government does not have the authority to tell me what my "Rights" are per their interpretations; I tell the Government what my "Rights" are per my interpretations. The courts job is to "Oversee Due Process" as i address "My Jury" to maintain that "i Remain Respectful and Honest" and not encroach upon another man's rights. In the course of disposing of my own matters at all times. No more. No less.

DECLARATION FOR A VOTE OF NO CONFIDENCE; *Herein and by my full " Sovereign Authority in standing" as one of the People an advocate for ending these financial crimes against we the People, in this matter to make this "Formal Declaration" within this ONE-HUNDRED AND SIXTEENTH CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; through proceedings and evidence, bring forth the current "Legal Monopoly (18c)" has become hostile to its intended purpose, and Breached its "Statutory Duty" to this "Republic". A redefinition and replacement of this entity has become necessary to ensure the continuation of this "Republic", THE DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE, and THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES remains intact.*

Memorandum of Lawful Authority

Everyone is the manager and disposer of his own matters, Maxim of Law. Whereby, I brought forward this private right of action.

CONCLUSION STATEMENT for PRE-ESTABLISHING COMMON LAW JURISDICTION

Article 1, Section 1 of the Constitution ***"All legislative Powers herein granted shall be vested in a Congress of the United States, which shall consist of a Senate and House of Representatives."*** This is synonymous to the first pillar of law, established

Article 3, Section 1 of the Constitution ***"The judicial Power of the United States, shall be vested in one supreme Court, and in such inferior Courts as Congress may from time to time ordain and establish. The Judges, both of the supreme and inferior Courts, shall hold their Offices during good Behavior, and shall at stated Times, receive for their Services, a Compensation, which shall not be diminished during their Continuance in Office."*** This is the Emolument afforded to those in these positions of public trust.

Appellant-Plaintiff sayeth nothing further at this time.

In Love and Service, All Rights Retained, None Waived,
Without Recourse,

By: _____ Date: 10-16-2020
:Billie-Rene'-Frances-Lillian: Powers

P.O Box 1501
Newport Beach, California [92659]
Email: powersbillie@yahoo.com
949-374-4052

See Attached Jurat. cs

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

State of California
County of ORANGE

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this 16TH day of October, 20 20, by Billie Rene' Frances-Lillian Powers,
proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) who appeared before me.

CRAIG SALCIDO
Notary Public - California
Orange County
Commission # 2280699
My Comm. Expires Mar 12, 2023

(Seal) Signature

Amicus Brief. CS